



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,995	09/07/2000	Takao Miyazaki	0378-0374P	2239
7590 11/20/2006			EXAMINER	
Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
P O Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2622	

DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/656,995	MIYAZAKI, TAKAO			
Examiner	Art Unit			
LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622			

	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	-					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-31</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 32-37.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(′	ls to provide a l).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the conficction is	a condition for allows				
	t does NOT place the application if	1 condition for allowar	ice because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	\bigcap	\bigcirc			
13. \ Other: See also MPEP 715.09		all m				
	<u>.</u>	DAVID OMETZ	/			
C. Datast and Trademode Office	SUPER	ISORY PATENT E	XAMINER			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office